G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-97
(Police)

File No. 53

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 14 and 18.

B
~~Papers Filed.~~

Month September 96

SUBJECT.

Prosecution in cases of unfair marriages during the year 1896—97. (Soogandalli)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Indexed
B. B. Shanbhag

Gen. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE
216
9 JUL 1896
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

1896. J 34

SECTION Office

FILE No. 52 of 96

SERIAL No. 1

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

(1)

To

The ~~General~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated

Despatched 8 July 1896

Received 9 —ou—

General No. 97
No. 14

In Enclosure No. one file.

Recommending that
prosecution of the
parties concerned in an
infant-marriage that
took place at Soogandhally
in the Nagadi Taluk.

J. 9-7-96
Submitted with
reference.
Dtd. 10-7-96.

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYS(

No. 97/14 Dated. Bangalore. 8. July. 1896.

Enc. 2 to file.

FROM:

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

To

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of letter A. 571 of the 8th June 1896 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, together with its enclosures in original (to be kindly returned), reporting on the occurrence of an infant marriage on the 16th May 1895 at Soogganhally in the Magadi Taluk.

2. The Police enquiry shows that the bride and bride-groom are Srivyshnava Brahmins aged 5 and 16 years respectively. The marriage was arranged by Gatachar and Srinivasachar, the fathers of the bride and bridegroom, and the Deputy Commissioner proposes to prosecute these individuals under the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation

His office No. 3871 dated 14-6-96.
and No. 570 dated 23-6-96 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.

3. The marriage having taken place more than a year ago, I felt doubtful whether any useful purpose would be served by prosecuting the parties at this late hour and accordingly requested the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner on the point. The Deputy Commissioner thinks that the law against infant marriage should be vindicated, the parties concerned being intelligent Brahmins who have had no excuse for breaking the law. Copies of the marginally noted correspondence are herewith enclosed.

4. Under these circumstances, I beg that sanction may be accorded to prosecute the above said Patalkar and Srinivasachar for an offence under section 3 of Regulation No. X of 1894.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
M Gurumurthy Rao
Inspector General
of Police.

P. No. 571
Enc: 8.

Encl to 8/1
POLICE DEPT. OF MYSORE

Bangalore District
Police office
Dated 8th June 1896.

1/a

From,
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,
The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. One Rangachar of Suggankalli in the Magadi Taluk brought to the notice of the authorities that on 16th May 1895 one Tatachar of the same village gave in marriage his daughter aged 4 years named Ranganayaki to one Tirumala Tatachar of Srigiripura in the said Taluk, in contravention to the provisions of the Infant Marriage Regulation.

3. The Police enquiry clearly shewed that

that the infant marriage in question was duly celebrated.

4. The father of the girl explains that he was obliged to marry his infant daughter as the bridegroom's father Srinivasachar, who is his maternal uncle, was on his death-bed and wished to see the marriage done ere he breathed his last; and that he was then ignorant of the Regulation.

5. Some of the relatives of the parties concerned testify to the girl's underage.

6. I have the honor to suggest that Government sanction may be obtained to prosecute Satachar and Sreenivasachar under Section 8 of Regulation X of 1894.

7. The Police records are herewith submitted.

I have &c

/Signed/ V. R. Thyagaraj Iyer
For Deputy Commr.

P. I. O.

"Copy"

V. Jagadhara Rao

Inspector General
of Police

1896. Queries 1

From,

The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore.

To, The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore.

A°. 3871/346 Camp Hassan 14th June 1896.

His letter A°. 571 of the 8th instant recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage that took place at Suggarhalli in the Nagadi Taluk, on the 16th May 189[illegible]

With reference to the above, has the honor to observe that the complaint petition of Runga Char dated the 30th October 1895 appears to have been received in the District office on the 12th November 1895 and forwarded to the Amildar for report on the 30th November. The report from the Taluk is dated 1st May 1896. There has thus been a very long delay in completing the enquiry in this matter.

Requests to be informed whether in the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner any useful purpose will be served by prosecuting the parties at this late hour, the marriage having taken place on the 16th May 1895. The papers are herewith returned for reference and retransmission

Signed T. S. Madhava Rao
Inspector General
of Police

No. 540

Dated 23rd June 1896.

From,
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,
The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 3871/346 dated 14th instant, I have the honor to state that notwithstanding the delay which you justly condemn, on the part of the Taluk officials in carrying out the enquiry; I think the law against infant marriages should be vindicated for the sake of example at least. The parties concerned in the case in question are lettered Brahmins and have had no excuse for breaking the law. If they are let off, other parties belonging to inferior and ignorant classes may, with more plausibility, claim exemption.

2. The papers received with your above docket are herewith returned.

I have &c.

Signed V. N. Narasimmiengar.
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copies."

M. Madhava Rao.
Inspector General
of Police.

SECTION Police.
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.
FILE No. 53 / 96.
SER'AL No. 2

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE. (2)

READ—

Letter No. 97-14 dated 8: July 1896
from the Insp^r Gen^l of Police in Mysore
reporting that on the 16: May 1895 an infant girl of about 5 yrs
named Ranganayaki daughter
of one Latachar of Suggarhalli
was married to one Tirumala Latachar of
Srigiripura aged about 16 years
at Suggarhalli in the Magadi Taluk, Bangalore.

ORDER THEREON No. 840-1 DATED 15-7- 1896

J 71 Marginal

Under the provisions of section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of all persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Ranganayaki
with a boy named Tirumala Latacha
and of all persons who knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband~~

15-7-96.
General Secretary.

To
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore, returning the records.

10

Gen. 30.]

2338 J 329

189 6.

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

(3)

To

The ~~General~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 8th
Despatched 9th } September/896.

Received /2— —

General No. 879
No. 97

Enclosure No.

Police

SECTION

FILE No. 53 of 96

SERIAL No. 14

Reporting that the two accused in the Coogganhalli (Nagar Taluk) Infanticide Marriage case were Convicted and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 5/- each.

Encl 2. J 10/9/96
Submitted with reference
dtd. 14-9-96
Who submitted some days later
11-10

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 879/97 Enc.

Dated Bangalore 8th September 1896.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

To

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 840-7/J. 71 dated 15th July 1896 sanctioning the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage that took place at Soogganhally in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, reports that Satathar and Srinivasachar, the accused in the case, were convicted and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 5/- each.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]
Inspector General,
of Police.

MS

No: 4314 / 453 dt 26-9-96.

SECTION Police
FILE NO. 53 of 96
SER 41 NO. 18

Read:- (4) 12

Letter No: 879/97 dt 8-9-96 from the Inspector General of Police reporting for the information of Government that Jatachar + Srinivasachar, whose concerned in the infant marriage that took place at Soogganhalli in the Magadi Taluk, ~~against~~ whom and whose prosecution was sanctioned in Govt Proceedings No: 840-1/971 dt 15th July 1896, were convicted + sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5 each.

Order thereon.

Recorded.
23-9-96.
Secty

To
The I. G. of Police